

Chang, Andy

---

From: Peter, David  
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2016 1:11 PM  
To: Chang, Andy  
Cc: Jones, Rhea  
Subject: FW: EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0464 SO2 non-attainment  
Attachments: Existing\_Plants\_by\_State\_Per\_Capita.pdf; Asthma-Capitals-Report-2015-Rankings.pdf

Andy,

I received the email below. I am passing along in case there is some way for her comments to be included in the docket.

David Peter  
Environmental Engineer  
U.S. EPA Region 7, Air Permitting Branch  
11201 Renner Boulevard  
Lenexa, KS 66219  
913-551-7397

From: ~ rabbels ~ [mailto:rabbels@gmail.com]  
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2016 11:53 AM  
To: Peter, David <peter.david@epa.gov>  
Subject: EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0464 SO2 non-attainment

Dear David,

I'm not sure who to contact and someone suggested getting a hold of Region 7. I was trying to make a comment last night about 10 minutes before the deadline and had website issues that were locking up my computer while trying to submit. Is there any way my comments below can be added to the EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0464 SO2 non-attainment ruling.

Thank you  
Karen Rangel  
314.971.2808

Dear EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy and Assistant Administrator for the Office of Air and Radiation Janet McCabe:

I am writing to you in support of EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0464, proposed SO2 non-attainment designations.

I think this rule is crucial in supporting our community and others like mine. I believe that coal burning power plants attribute greatly to the over all individual health of a region. IN Mid-eastern Missouri, we have Ameren's Labadie Power Plant. And, if you look at the Greater St. Louis Metropolitan Area/Region, you will find that St. Louis ranks 26th on the "Top 100 2015 Asthma Capitols 2015" from the Allergy and Asthma Foundation of America" (PDF attached, found on the Asthma and Allergy Foundation website

<http://www.aafa.org/page/asthma-capitals.aspx>). Likewise the Clean Air Task Force indicates high numbers of heart attacks, asthma, and other pulmonary diseases in the proximity of a large coal burning plant

(see interactive info graphic found on The Clean Air Task Force website

[http://www.catf.us/fossil/problems/power\\_plants/](http://www.catf.us/fossil/problems/power_plants/)). Further, Clean Air Task Force cites Missouri over all as #15 on the list of "Per Capita Power Plant Impact by State" (PDF attached, Clean Air Task Force website

Most importantly I think this ruling is important because self-monitoring means nothing to producers such as Ameren . Actions of consistently refusing to share data with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources or the public in general on various emissions directly show they consider individuals as disposable. As well, I suggest plant discharges do not follow the 'modeling' since I have witnessed Amerian 's Labadie Plant emissions do their own thing. I have seen a grungy yellowish smudge in the sky coming from the plant. The grungy yellowish smudge might be going towards Augusta, St. Louis, Eureka, Pacific, Villa Ridge, or even Washington MO. Sometimes if you are driving west on 44 near Six Flags, you can see this grungy yellowish streak. That is quite an area of coverage!

There are many other reasons or examples that I could site, but I feel the bottom line is that this ruling is important to the peoples of communities in and near coal burning power plants because utility companies forget that they are part of a community at large which it has a responsibility to the community at large in their overall health and well being not, just the stock holders. And I feel that when the community at large is taken for granted or considered disposable, regulations are necessary to balance and give protections to those being polluted!

Thank you for your time and considerations  
Karen Rangel  
St. Louis MO  
[rabbels@gmail.com](mailto:rabbels@gmail.com)